

## PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Below Average	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Below Average	Average	No

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

41.1

49.8

48.4

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

·			
	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	24	76	44
Percent satisfied with learning environment	75.0%	80.3%	75.6%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	83.3%	72.0%	61.0%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	30.4%	89.3%	71.4%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	TERT TESTING						7.
		(NS .ND	/.	oli politica de la companya de la co		Proficient of	Advanced of Profi	cientand Advanced
	/.8	en Testi	lested of the	MBas	Basic oh	- Oficie.	Wance &	cientand st
	ringli	40, 0%	(e) / (e)	810, \ a1/2	gr / 0/0	61.	bro Stol	PQ41
	/ <b>V</b> V		0/0,				0/0	ج / ج
All students			Ei	igiisii/Lai	iguage A			
Gender	274	100.0	49.8	41.1	8.3	0.8	9.1	17.6
Male	444	100.0	FF 0	27.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	47.0
rivale Female	144	100.0	55.9	37.0	6.3	0.8	7.1	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	130	100.0	43.7	45.2	10.3	0.8	11.1	17.6
White	64	100.0	32.2	45.8	18.6	3.4	22.0	17.6
African-American	206	100.0	56.3	38.4	5.3	N/A	5.3	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander		0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	N/A					N/A		17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	,	N/A	
Disability Status	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Not disabled	224	100.0	45.9	43.0	10.1	1.0	11.1	17.6
Disabled		100.0	67.4	32.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status	50	100.0	07.4	32.0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	17.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	274	100.0	49.8	41.1	8.3	0.8	9.1	17.6
English Proficiency	214	100.0	49.0	41.1	0.3	0.0	9.1	17.0
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	274	100.0	49.8	41.1	8.3	0.8	9.1	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	214	100.0	40.0	71.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	17.0
Subsidized meals	238	100.0	50.0	41.4	8.1	0.5	8.6	17.6
Full-pay meals	36	100.0	48.4	38.7	9.7	3.2	12.9	17.6
	00	100.0	10.1	00.7	, 0.,	0.2	1 12.0	1 11.0
				Mathe	matics			
All students	274	100.0	41.1	47.4	9.9	1.6	11.5	15.5
Gender								
Male	144	100.0	44.9	44.9	9.4	0.8	10.2	15.5
Female	130	100.0	37.3	50.0	10.3	2.4	12.7	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	64	100.0	25.4	54.2	15.3	5.1	20.3	15.5
African-American	206	100.0	46.8	45.3	7.9	N/A	7.9	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	224	100.0	34.3	52.2	11.6	1.9	13.5	15.5
Disabled	50	100.0	71.7	26.1	2.2	N/A	2.2	15.5
Migrant Status		^ ^	NI/A	NI/A	N1/A	N1/A	NI/A	45.5
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	274	100.0	41.1	47.4	9.9	1.6	11.5	15.5
English Proficiency								/
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	274	100.0	41.1	47.4	9.9	1.6	11.5	15.5
Socio-Economic Status		100.6	40.6	40.6	0.4	4.0		45.5
Subsidized meals	238	100.0	43.2	46.8	8.1	1.8	9.9	15.5
Full-pay meals	36	100.0	25.8	51.6	22.6	N/A	22.6	15.5

# PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enoug	34 of 162 0/0	legic ologi	NOW POL	B85.	Skoji,	Advice Profit
		Em 0	184 OL	/ (-		/	/ 0/0	0/0
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	98	N/A	37.8	52.0	10.2	N/A	10.2
2002	Grade 5	87	N/A	52.9	35.6	11.5	N/A	11.5
20	Grade 6	81	N/A	44.9	42.3	7.7	5.1	12.8
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	93	100.0	52.9	41.4	5.7	N/A	5.7
2003	Grade 5	93	100.0	48.3	44.8	6.9	N/A	6.9
20	Grade 6	88	100.0	48.1	36.7	12.7	2.5	15.2
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	s		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	98	N/A	49.0	37.8	11.2	2.0	13.3
2002	Grade 5	87	N/A	71.3	21.8	5.7	1.1	6.9
2	Grade 6	81	N/A	50.0	42.3	6.4	1.3	7.7
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	93	100.0	39.1	57.5	1.1	2.3	3.4
2003	Grade 5	93	100.0	39.1	47.1	13.8	N/A	13.8
20	Grade 6	88	100.0	45.6	36.7	15.2	2.5	17.7
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROF	

SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 290)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	3.4%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	94.9%	Down from 95.8%	95.4%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	6.8%	Down from 7.6%	6.1%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	18.3%	Up from 17.7%	8.4%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	3.4%	Up from 1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	2.4%	Down from 5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 19)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	52.6%	Down from 57.9%	47.2%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	63.2%	Down from 78.9%	80.0%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	r 84.1%	Down from 85.2%	82.3%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.7%	Up from 94.3%	94.6%	95.3%
	\$39,408	Down 2.2%	\$39,111	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	21.5 days	Up from 14.1 days	12.9 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	21.1 to 1	Down from 22.6 to 1	17.3 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	88.5%	Up from 87.1%	88.2%	89.7%
	\$6,191	Up 31.4%	\$6,289	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	62.6%	No change	66.1%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	No change	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes
	•		,	•

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

# Abbreviations for Missing Data

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The many and varied activities from this past year were rewarding for Spaulding Elementary's students and staff. Much of this year has been devoted to maintenance and enhancement of the programs instituted already. I continue to be proud of our students' participation in community service projects sponsored by the Student Council, i.e. Pennies for Patients, Non-perishable food drives, etc. Sixteen students were trained as Conflict Mediators, one student was selected as the school's Lieutenant Governor's Essay Contest winner, nineteen students were granted membership into the National Jr. Beta Club, one student received an award and was recognized by the District Board for Perfect Attendance for all six years of elementary school, and another student was selected as Spaulding's STAND Award recipient. Spaulding was awarded three retraining grants through the State Department of Education (SDE) again this year to foster continued and enhanced teacher training. We were awarded the Reading is Fundamental (RIF) Grant through the library again this year. Students were permitted to choose books to help build home libraries.

In order for growth to occur, change must take place. Our teachers are continuing to make strides in instructional improvements. After attending conferences and workshops throughout the state, innovative ideas are brought back to Spaulding and shared with the entire faculty. Nine teachers received in-depth training in Balanced Literacy this past summer, and they report that it has made the difference in our students' improved reading and language skills. Again this year, we were fortunate to have the assistance of our three teacher specialists in our planning and implementing our instructional programs. Spaulding Elementary underwent a Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS) accreditation visit this year. We received no deficiencies, and the SACS team was very complimentary of Spaulding's programs and efforts for improvement. Spaulding Elementary is a Title 1 school-wide site.

Shawn Hoehn was selected as Spaulding Elementary's Teacher of the Year and is fully capable of ensuring sound representation of our school at district meetings. Deirdre Goodson was elected as Spaulding's PTO President. We are confident that under her leadership, our school will continue on the road to growth and improvement. We appreciate the support from our parents during our Family Reading, Math, and Science Nights. Also, we appreciate all of the support from the many businesses, community members and families as we held our first Family Fun Day. This day was an opportunity to enhance "Building a Community of Learners" through fun family activities and information for and about our students and our school's direction. We do ask for parents' help in meeting conference dates and times for planning and monitoring students' academic plans. Also, we ask that parents continue reading with their children for at least 20 minutes daily.

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.